******************************	******
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	

TALIB ALSAIFULLAH,

Plaintiff,

-V

1:06-CV-1481 NAM/DRH

JUDGE WILLIAM CARTER,

Defendant.																											

APPEARANCES:

TALIB ALSAIFULLAH Plaintiff, pro se

HON. ANDREW M. CUOMO Attorney General for the State of New York SENTA B. SUIDA, ESQ., Assistant Attorney General 615 Erie Boulevard West, Suite 102 Syracuse, New York 13204

Hon. Norman A. Mordue, Chief U.S. District Judge:

## MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER

Plaintiff *pro se* moves (Dkt. No. 35) for reconsideration of this Court's Memorandum-Decision and Order (Dkt. No. 34) denying as untimely his motion (Dkt. No. 28) to reopen the time to file a Notice of Appeal and denying as moot his motion (Dkt. No. 32) for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. A court may grant reconsideration of a judgment or interlocutory order to afford such relief as justice requires, based on an intervening change in controlling law, the availability of previously unavailable evidence, or the need to correct a clear error of law or prevent manifest injustice. *See Doe v. N.Y. City Dep't of Social Servs.*, 709 F.2d 782, 789 (2d Cir. 1983). Reconsideration will generally be denied "unless the moving party can point to controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked – matters, in other words, that might

reasonably be expected to alter the conclusion reached by the court." *Shrader v. CSX Transp. Inc.*, 70 F.3d 255, 257 (2d Cir. 1995).

Plaintiff's submissions do not warrant reconsideration of the September 2, 2009

Memorandum-Decision and Order. He makes no showing of an intervening change in controlling law, the availability of previously unavailable evidence, or the need to correct a clear error of law or prevent manifest injustice. The papers he submits on this motion could not reasonably be expected to alter the conclusion reached by the Court, because they do not support a finding that plaintiff timely moved to reopen the time to appeal.

It is therefore

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion (Dkt. No. 35) for reconsideration is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 4, 2009 Syracuse, New York Vorman A. Mordue

Chief United States District Court Judge